Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 DEREK TUCSON, ROBIN SNYDER, No. 2:23-cv-00017-MJP 9 MONSIEREE DE CASTRO, and ERIK MOYA-DELGADO. JOINT STATUS REPORT AND 10 **DISCOVERY PLAN** Plaintiffs, 11 v. 12 CITY OF SEATTLE. ALEXANDER 13 PATTON, TRAVIS JORDAN, DYLAN NELSON, JOHN DOES (#1-4), and JANE 14 DOES (#1-2) 15 Defendants. 16 17 PURSUANT TO this Court's January 5, 2023 Order Regarding Initial Disclosures, 18 Joint Status Report, And Early Settlement (Dkt. 4), the parties met on March 21, 2023 by 19 direct and personal face-to-face remote video conference and submit the following Joint 20 Status Report and Discovery Plan: 21 1. A statement of the nature and complexity of the case. 22 Plaintiffs allege Defendants retaliated against Plaintiffs based on constitutionally-23 protected expressions and activities using a constitutionally-overbroad ordinance, 24 resulting in damages; Defendants deny these allegations. The case is not especially 25 complex. 26 27

### 2. A proposed deadline for joining additional parties.

Given that the identity of individual defendants is not presently known to Plaintiffs, the parties propose five months of discovery prior to the deadline for joining additional parties.

# 3. <u>3. A discovery plan that states, by corresponding paragraph letters (A, B, etc.), the parties' views and proposals on all items set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), which includes the following topics:</u>

### (A) <u>initial disclosures;</u>

The parties have exchanged initial disclosures.

### (B) <u>subjects, timing, and potential phasing of discovery;</u>

Regarding disclosure of any expert witnesses, the parties propose the following deadlines: 90 days prior to close of discovery for Plaintiffs and 60 days prior to the close of discovery for Defendants;

### (C) <u>electronically stored information;</u>

The parties agree to exchange electronic files in the form they are customarily stored by the party. If a party keeps and uses a file in .pdf format, then the party will produce it in .pdf format. If a party keeps and uses a file in another format, then the party will produce it in that format. Other than that agreement, the parties agree to use the Model Protocol for Discovery of ESI.

The parties will discuss the use of agreed search terms.

# (D) <u>privilege issues</u>;

Plaintiffs anticipate encountering claims of privilege while conducting discovery relating to the jail booking policy "override(s)" that targeted non-violent protesters for booking, including but not limited to Defendants' internal correspondence regarding the same, and will raise those issues with the Court if and when they arise. Other than that, the parties otherwise do not anticipate any unusual privilege issues.

# 1 2

3

56

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

23

22

2425

2627

# (E) proposed limitations on discovery; and

Plaintiffs propose a limit on discovery into their private lives, social media accounts, political activities, and privately held views. Plaintiffs make no claim for mental or wage-related damages. Plaintiffs' private lives, social media accounts, political activities, and privately held views are immaterial to the claims and defenses, and thus are not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Defendants do not agree to Plaintiffs' proposed limitation and, instead, propose following the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable legal precedent with respect to what is or is not discoverable.

### (F) the need for any discovery related orders.

The parties will present an agreed proposed Protective Order related to sensitive and confidential information such as Social Security numbers, dates of birth.

Plaintiffs anticipate the need for court intervention on the issue of a protective order related to subsection (3)(E), above.

4. The parties' views, proposals, and agreements, by corresponding paragraph letters (A, B, etc.), on all items set forth in Local Civil Rule 26(f)(1), which includes the following topics:

# (A) prompt case resolution;

The parties are open to prompt case resolution after resolution of early motions and discovery regarding unidentified defendants;

# (B) <u>alternative dispute resolution;</u>

The parties are open to alternative dispute resolution after resolution of early motions and discovery regarding unidentified defendants, with mediation being the most likely method;

# (C) <u>related cases;</u>

The parties are not aware of any related cases;

1	(D) <u>discovery management;</u>	
2	The parties agree that standard discovery management is appropriate for this case;	
3	(E) <u>anticipated discovery sought;</u>	
4	The parties agree to seek discovery within the scope of their claims and defenses;	
5	(F) phasing motions;	
6	The parties agree that there is no need for formal phasing of motions practice;	
7	(G) preservation of discoverable information;	
8	The parties agree to preserve all discoverable information;	
9	(H) privilege issues;	
10 11	The parties agree to raise privilege issues as they arise;	
12	(I) Model Protocol for Discovery of ESI; and	
13	With the caveat mentioned in (2)(C) above, the parties agree to follow the Model	
14	Protocol for Discovery of ESI;	
15	(J) <u>alternatives to Model Protocol.</u>	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17	with the cavear mentioned in (2)(C) above, the parties agree to follow the Woder	
18	Protocol for Discovery of ESI;  5. The date by which discovery can be completed.	
19		
20	The parties propose 12 months for completion of discovery.	
21	6. Whether the case should be bifurcated by trying the liability issues before the damages issues, or bifurcated in any other way.	
22	The parties agree that bifurcation is not necessary.	
23	7. Whether the pretrial statements and pretrial order called for by Local	
24	Civil Rules 16(e), (h), (i), and (k), and 16.1 should be dispensed with in whole or in part for the sake of economy.	
25		
26	The parties do not propose any changes to Local Civil Rules 16(e), (h), (i), and	
27	(k), and 16.1 for the sake of economy.	
	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN - 4	

1	8. Whether the parties intend to utilize the Individualized Trial Program set forth in Local Civil Rule 39.2 or any ADR options set forth in Local		
2 3	Civil Rule 39.1.		
4	The parties do not intend to utilize the Individualized Trial Program set forth in		
5	Local Civil Rule 39.2 or any ADR options set forth in Local Civil Rule 39.1.		
6	9. Any other suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.		
7	The only suggestion the parties offer to assist with the prompt and efficient		
8	resolution of the case is adjudication of the early motions.		
9	10. The date the case will be ready for trial. The Court expects that most civil cases will be ready for trial within a year after filing the Joint		
10	Status Report and Discovery Plan.		
11	The parties anticipate the case will be ready for trial in 16 months.		
12	11. Whether the trial will be jury or non-jury.		
13	The parties agree to seek a jury trial.		
14	12. The number of trial days required.		
15	The parties anticipate the trial will require 10 court days.		
16	13. The names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all trial counsel.		
17	Plaintiffs' trial counsel:		
18	Braden Pence Nathaniel Flack		
19	705 Second Ave, Ste 1500 Seattle, WA 98104		
20	206-622-1604 bradenp@mhb.com		
21	natef@mhb.com		
22	Neil Fox 2125 Western Ave #330		
23	Seattle, WA 98121 206-728-5440		
24	nf@neilfoxlaw.com		
25	Defendants' trial counsel:  Kerala Cowart		
26	Jessica Leiser Seattle City Attorney's Office		
27	701 Fifth Åvenue, Suite 2050		

Seattle, WA 98104 1 (206) 733-9001 2 Email: Kerala.Cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.Leiser@seattle.gov 3 14. The dates on which the trial counsel may have complications to be considered in setting a trial date. 4 5 Plaintiffs' trial counsel have scheduling conflicts on the following dates: 6 February 12-March 1, 2024 7 May 6-July 7, 2024 8 Defendants' trial counsel have scheduling conflicts on the following dates: 9 April 8-12, 2024 10 **15.** If, on the due date of the Report, all defendant(s) or respondents(s) have not been served, counsel for the plaintiff shall advise the Court 11 when service will be effected, why it was not made earlier, and shall 12 provide a proposed schedule for the required FRCP 26(f) conference and FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures. 13 The parties agree that all named Defendants have either been personally served or 14 waived service and that all Doe Defendants will be named promptly and served upon 15 their identification. 16 16. Whether any party requests a pretrial Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 conference 17 with the Judge before entry of any order under Rule 16 or setting the 18 schedule in the case. If any party requests such a conference, indicate whether the request is for an in-person conference, or by phone. 19 The parties agree there is no need for a pretrial conference. 20 17. List the date(s) that each and every nongovernmental corporate party 21 filed its disclosure statement pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1 and Local 22 Civil Rule 7.1. 23 The parties agree there are no nongovernment corporate parties involved in this 24 matter. 25 26

27

1	DATED this 5th day of April, 2023.	
2		MacDONALD HOAGUE & BAYLESS
3		Du s/Pradan Danaa
4		By: <u>_s/Braden Pence</u> Braden Pence, WSBA #43495
5		BradenP@mhb.com Nathaniel Flack, WSBA #58582
6		nathanielf@mhb.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7		
8	DATED this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2023.	
9		THE LAW OFFICE OF NEIL FOX
10		By: <u>s/Neil Fox</u>
11		Neil Fox, WSBA #15277 nf@neilfoxlaw.com
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13		
14	DATED this 5th day of April, 2023.	
15		ANN DAVISON Seattle City Attorney
16		
17		By: /s/ Kerala Cowart Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649
17 18		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349
		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov
18		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov
18 19		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov
18 19 20		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov
18 19 20 21		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov
18 19 20 21 22		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov
18 19 20 21 22 23		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov
18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Jessica Leiser, WSBA #49349 Assistant City Attorneys Kerala.cowart@seattle.gov Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing document with the
3	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the
4	following individuals:
5	
6	Attorneys for Defendants
7 Ann Davison Seattle City Attorney  9 Jessica Leiser, WSBA#49349 Assistant City Attorney	
	Assistant City Attorney
10	Email: Jessica.leiser@seattle.gov
11	Kerala Cowart, WSBA #53649 Assistant City Attorney
12	Email: kerala.cowart@seattle.gov
Seattle City Attorney's Office 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
14	Seattle, WA 98104
15	DATED this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2023, at Seattle, Washington.
16	DATED this 3 day of April, 2023, at Seattle, Washington.
17	
18	s/_Lucas Wildner
19	Lucas Wildner, Legal Assistant
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	